Response dated: February 2, 2004

Response to Non-Compliant Amendment Mailed 01/23/04

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

## **Listing of Claims:**

- 1. (currently amended) A method for the continuous maturation of beer after main fermentation, said maturation method comprising the steps of: passing unmatured beer, after removal of yeast and a heat treatment, through a bioreactor filled with a carrier material having yeast immobilised thereon, wherein said carrier material comprises wood particles; and maintaining said unmatured beer in contact with said yeast for a sufficient amount of time to reduce 90-97% of the diacetyl contained in said unmatured beer into acetoin.
  - 2. (cancelled)
- 3. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, wherein the wood particles comprise deciduous wood.
- 4. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, wherein the wood particles comprise coniferous wood.
- 5. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, wherein the wood particles have been produced from tropical gramineous plants.
- 6. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, wherein the yeast used in the bio-reactor is one of conventional brewing yeast and highly flocculable yeast.
- 7. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, wherein the amount of yeast in the bio-reactor is  $10^6$   $10^9$  cells/1 cm<sup>3</sup> of particles.

Response dated: February 2, 2004

Response to Non-Compliant Amendment Mailed 01/23/04

- 8. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, wherein the temperature in the bio-reactor is 5 25 °C.
- 9. (currently amended) The method as defined in claim 1, wherein the flow rate of unmatured beer through the bio-reactor is on the order of 0.05 2 times the bio-reactor volume / h.
- 10. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, further including the step of regenerating the particles after use using hot water or steam.
- 11. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1, further including the step of pre-treating the particles prior to immobilisation of the yeast.
- 12. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 11, wherein the particles are washed.
  - 13. (cancelled)
  - 14. (cancelled)
- 15. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 1 wherein a maximum dimension of the particles is 1-100 mm.
- 16. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 15 wherein a maximum dimension of the particles is 1-50 mm.
- 17. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 15 wherein a maximum dimension of the particles is 2-20 mm.

Response dated: February 2, 2004

Response to Non-Compliant Amendment Mailed 01/23/04

18. (original) The method as defined in claim 8 wherein the temperature in the bio-reactor is 5-20°C.

19. (currently amended) The method as defined in claim 9 wherein the flow rate of unmatured beer through the bio-reactor is on the order of 0.5-1 times the bio-reactor volume / h.

20. (previously presented) The method as defined in claim 11 wherein the pre-treating step is further defined as subjecting the particles to one of a water soaking treatment or ethanol extraction treatment prior to immobilization of the yeast.

- 21. (cancelled)
- 22. (cancelled)
- 23. (cancelled)
- 24. (cancelled)

Response dated: February 2, 2004

Response to Non-Compliant Amendment Mailed 01/23/04

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

Bv

Aaron T. Olejniczak

Reg. No. 54,853

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100 Milwaukee, WI 53202 414-271-7590 Attorney Docket No. 2534-00053